

Randomness and genericity

Johanna Franklin

National University of Singapore

July 2, 2009

Introduction

A real A is Kurtz random if for every r.e. open set $U \subseteq 2^\omega$ with measure 1, $A \in U$.

Introduction

A real A is Kurtz random if for every r.e. open set $U \subseteq 2^\omega$ with measure 1, $A \in U$.

- ▶ Every Schnorr random real is Kurtz random.

Introduction

A real A is Kurtz random if for every r.e. open set $U \subseteq 2^\omega$ with measure 1, $A \in U$.

- ▶ Every Schnorr random real is Kurtz random.
- ▶ Every weakly 1-generic real is Kurtz random.

Introduction

A real A is Kurtz random if for every r.e. open set $U \subseteq 2^\omega$ with measure 1, $A \in U$.

- ▶ Every Schnorr random real is Kurtz random.
- ▶ Every weakly 1-generic real is Kurtz random.

How closely related can members of these classes be?

Definitions

A Turing machine is *computable* if the measure of its domain is a recursive real.

The prefix-free Kolmogorov complexity of a string σ relative to a particular prefix-free Turing machine M is

$$K_M(\sigma) = \min\{|\tau| \mid M(\tau) = \sigma\}.$$

Definitions

A Turing machine is *computable* if the measure of its domain is a recursive real.

The prefix-free Kolmogorov complexity of a string σ relative to a particular prefix-free Turing machine M is

$$K_M(\sigma) = \min\{|\tau| \mid M(\tau) = \sigma\}.$$

A real A is *Schnorr random* if for every computable Turing machine M ,

$$(\exists c)(\forall n)[K_M(A \upharpoonright n) \geq n - c].$$

Definitions

A real A is *n-generic* if for every Σ_n^0 sentence φ , some initial segment of A either forces φ to be true or has no extensions for which it is true.

A real A is *weakly 1-generic* if for every dense r.e. open set $D \in 2^\omega$, $A \in D$.

Previous results

Theorem

No Schnorr random real is weakly 1-generic.

Previous results

Theorem

No Schnorr random real is weakly 1-generic.

Theorem (Demuth and Kučera)

No 1-generic real Turing computes a Martin-Löf random real.

Theorem (Nies, Stephan, and Terwijn)

Every 2-generic real forms a minimal pair with every 2-random real.

Turing degrees and 1-generics

Theorem

There is a 1-generic real that is Turing equivalent to a Schnorr random real.

Proof.

Simply consider a high 1-generic. □

Turing degrees and 1-generics

Theorem

There is a 1-generic real that is Turing equivalent to a Schnorr random real.

Proof.

Simply consider a high 1-generic. □

Theorem

No nonhigh 1-generic real Turing computes a Schnorr random real.

Proof.

Any nonhigh Schnorr random real is Martin-Löf random and thus fixed-point free, so everything above it must be FPF as well. However, no 1-generic is FPF. □

Turing degrees and 2-generics

Theorem

If G is a 2-generic real and $A \leq_T G$, A cannot be Schnorr random.

We will prove that A is not Schnorr random by showing that we cannot force it to be Schnorr random.

Proof

Let G be a 2-generic, and suppose that $A \leq_T G$ via Ψ .

The statement “ Ψ is total” is a Π_2^0 statement, and G must force this to hold. Let $p \subseteq G$ be the initial segment forcing this.

Our forcing conditions will be $\mathcal{P} = \{p_i \mid p \subseteq p_i\}$. If we apply Ψ to each member of this set, we get

$$T = \{r_i \mid r_i = \Psi(p_i)\}.$$

Proof

Recall: A is not Schnorr random if there is some computable machine M such that

$$(\forall c)(\exists n)[K_M(A \upharpoonright n) < n - c].$$

We will build an M such that for each r_i and c , the statement

$$(\exists r_j \supseteq r_i)(\exists n)[K_M(r_j \upharpoonright n) < n - c]$$

will hold.

Proof

Stage 0:

- ▶ Set $M = \emptyset$.

Stage $\langle i, c \rangle$:

- ▶ Find some n larger than every n previously considered such that $\langle i, c \rangle < n - c$.
- ▶ Find some $r_j \supseteq r_i$ such that $|r_j| \geq n$.
- ▶ Set $M(\langle i, c \rangle) = r_j \upharpoonright n$.

Proof

We first note that $\mu(\text{dom}(M)) = \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{1}{2^n} = 1$, so M is a computable Turing machine.

Above each r_i , for all c , we can find some extension q of length n such that $K_M(q) = \langle i, c \rangle < n - c$. Therefore, G cannot force Schnorr randomness at any point, so A must not be Schnorr random.

The tt -degrees and 1-genericity

Theorem

If G is a 1-generic real and $A \leq_{tt} G$, then A is not Schnorr random.

Proof.

By the previous argument. □

The tt -degrees and hyperimmunity

Recall: A set B is hyperimmune if it is infinite and there is no recursive function that dominates p_B .

Theorem

If B is hyperimmune and $A \leq_{tt} B$ via Ψ , then A is not Schnorr random.

Definitions

A martingale is a function $d : 2^{<\omega} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+ \cup \{0\}$ such that for all $\sigma \in 2^{<\omega}$,

$$d(\sigma) = \frac{d(\sigma 0) + d(\sigma 1)}{2}.$$

A martingale is *recursive* if the reals $d(\sigma)$ are uniformly recursive.

We say that a martingale d *succeeds* on a real A if

$$\limsup d(A \upharpoonright n) = \infty.$$

Definitions

A martingale is a function $d : 2^{<\omega} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+ \cup \{0\}$ such that for all $\sigma \in 2^{<\omega}$,

$$d(\sigma) = \frac{d(\sigma 0) + d(\sigma 1)}{2}.$$

A martingale is *recursive* if the reals $d(\sigma)$ are uniformly recursive.

We say that a martingale d *succeeds* on a real A if

$$\limsup d(A \upharpoonright n) = \infty.$$

A real A is Schnorr random if there is no recursive martingale d such that $d(A \upharpoonright n) \geq f(n)$ infinitely often for some unbounded, nondecreasing recursive function f .

Proof of theorem

To prove this, we build a recursive martingale that succeeds on A .

- ▶ Partition ω into intervals $\langle I_n \rangle$ such that the length of I_n is $2n + 1$.
- ▶ For each n , define a martingale d_n as follows:
 - ▶ the initial capital of d_n is $\frac{1}{2^{n+1}}$ and
 - ▶ d_n bets on $\Psi(D_n)$ on the interval I_n .
- ▶ Let d be the martingale that behaves like d_n on each interval I_n .

Fact

Suppose B is hyperimmune and f is a recursive function. Then there are infinitely many n such that

$$B \cap \{0, \dots, f(n)\} = D_n \cap \{0, \dots, f(n)\},$$

where D_n is the n^{th} canonical finite set.

Define f so $f(n)$ is the use of Ψ for all elements in I_n .

- ▶ If $B \cap \{0, \dots, f(n)\} = D_n \cap \{0, \dots, f(n)\}$, d earns $\frac{1}{2^{n+1}} \cdot 2^{2n+1} = 2^n$ on I_n .
- ▶ If $B \cap \{0, \dots, f(n)\} \neq D_n \cap \{0, \dots, f(n)\}$, d loses at most $\frac{1}{2^{n+1}}$ on I_n .

Furthermore, we can see that d succeeds on B “quickly enough.”

The wtt -degrees and hyperimmunity

Theorem

If A is not high, B is hyperimmune, and $A \leq_{wtt} B$, then A is not Schnorr random.

Theorem

If B is a high 1-generic real, then there is a Schnorr random real A such that $A \leq_{wtt} B$.

Proof

If B is 1-generic and high, we can enumerate a list of indices $\langle e_i \rangle$ so that

- ▶ each m_{e_i} is a total rational-valued martingale and
- ▶ each total martingale is indexed by some e_i .

We can do this in such a way that m_{e_i} is determined by only the first i bits of B .

Replace each m_{e_i} by d_i , where $d_i(\sigma) = 1$ for $|\sigma| < i$ and

$$d_i(\sigma \hat{\ } \tau) = \frac{1 + m_{e_i}(\sigma \hat{\ } \tau)}{1 + m_{e_i}(\sigma)}$$

when $|\sigma| = i$.

Proof

Let $d(\sigma) = \sum_k \frac{1}{2^{k+1}} d_k(\sigma)$. This is a rational-valued martingale that is *wtt*-computable from A .

Now we define our $A \leq_{wtt} B$ inductively: let $A(k+1)$ be 0 if

$$d(A \upharpoonright k^{\frown} 0) \leq d(A \upharpoonright k)$$

and 1 otherwise.

Clearly, d will not succeed on A , so A is recursively random and thus Schnorr random.

Merci!