

On Domains of Universal Machines

Ludwig Staiger

Martin-Luther-Universität Halle-Wittenberg

joint work with *Cristian S. Calude*, *André Nies* and
Frank Stephan

4th Conference on Logic, Computability and Randomness
June 29 - July 3, 2009, Marseille, France

Main References

- 1 Calude, C.S. and Staiger, L.,
On universal computably enumerable prefix codes,
Mathematical Structures in Computer Science **19** (2009),
pp. 45 – 57.
- 2 Calude, C.S., Nies, A., Staiger, L. and Stephan, F.,
Universal Recursively Enumerable Sets of Strings,
in: *Developments in Language Theory*, (M. Ito and M. Toyama
Eds.) Lecture Notes in Comput. Sci. No. **5257**, Springer-Verlag,
Berlin 2008, pp. 170 – 182.

Outline

- 1 Prefix Codes
- 2 Description complexity
- 3 Universal codes
- 4 Density
- 5 Spectral properties
- 6 Plain machines

Prefix Codes

Fix an alphabet $X = \{0, \dots, r-1\}$, $r \geq 2$, and denote by X^* the set of finite strings (words) on X .

Definition (Prefix Code)

A subset V of X^* is called a **prefix code** provided $w \sqsubseteq v$ and $w, v \in V$ imply $w = v$.

Prefix Codes

Fix an alphabet $X = \{0, \dots, r-1\}$, $r \geq 2$, and denote by X^* the set of finite strings (words) on X .

Definition (Prefix Code)

A subset V of X^* is called a **prefix code** provided $w \sqsubseteq v$ and $w, v \in V$ imply $w = v$.

Proposition (KRAFT-MCMILLAN inequality)

Every (prefix) code $V \subseteq X^*$ satisfies $\sum_{w \in V} r^{-|w|} \leq 1$.

Prefix Maximality

Definition (Prefix Maximality)

$V \subseteq X^*$ is a **prefix maximal** code provided V is a prefix code and for every prefix code $W \subseteq X^*$, $V \subseteq W$ implies $W = V$.

Prefix Maximality

Definition (Prefix Maximality)

$V \subseteq X^*$ is a **prefix maximal** code provided V is a prefix code and for every prefix code $W \subseteq X^*$, $V \subseteq W$ implies $W = V$.

Fact

A prefix code $V \subseteq X^*$ is prefix maximal if and only if for every $u \in X^*$ there is a $w \in V$ such that $u \sqsubseteq w$ or $w \sqsubseteq u$.

Prefix Maximality

Definition (Prefix Maximality)

$V \subseteq X^*$ is a **prefix maximal** code provided V is a prefix code and for every prefix code $W \subseteq X^*$, $V \subseteq W$ implies $W = V$.

Fact

A prefix code $V \subseteq X^*$ is prefix maximal if and only if for every $u \in X^*$ there is a $w \in V$ such that $u \sqsubseteq w$ or $w \sqsubseteq u$.

Fact (Sufficient condition)

A prefix code V is prefix maximal if $\sum_{w \in V} r^{-|w|} = 1$.

KRAFT's construction

Theorem (KRAFT's construction)

Let $s : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ be a function such that $s(0) = 0$ and $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} s(n) \cdot r^{-n} \leq 1$. Then there is a prefix code $V \subseteq X^*$ such that

$$|\{w : w \in V \wedge |w| = n\}| = s(n).$$

KRAFT's construction

Theorem (KRAFT's construction)

Let $s : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ be a function such that $s(0) = 0$ and $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} s(n) \cdot r^{-n} \leq 1$. Then there is a prefix code $V \subseteq X^*$ such that

$$|\{w : w \in V \wedge |w| = n\}| = s(n).$$

Observe that $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} s(n) = |V|$.

KRAFT's construction

Theorem (KRAFT's construction)

Let $s : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ be a function such that $s(0) = 0$ and $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} s(n) \cdot r^{-n} \leq 1$. Then there is a prefix code $V \subseteq X^*$ such that

$$|\{w : w \in V \wedge |w| = n\}| = s(n).$$

Observe that $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} s(n) = |V|$.

Theorem (Maximality of infinite prefix codes)

Let $s : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ be a function such that $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} s(n) \cdot r^{-n} \leq 1$ and $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} s(n) = \infty$. Then there is a prefix maximal code $V \subseteq X^*$ such that

$$|\{w : w \in V \wedge |w| = n\}| = s(n).$$

Computability

Theorem (KRAFT-CHAITIN)

Let $s : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ be a left computable (approximable from below) function such that $s(0) = 0$ and $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} s(n) \cdot r^{-n} \leq 1$. Then there is a computably enumerable prefix code $V \subseteq X^*$ such that

$$|\{w : w \in V \wedge |w| = n\}| = s(n).$$

Computability

Theorem (KRAFT-CHAITIN)

Let $s : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ be a left computable (approximable from below) function such that $s(0) = 0$ and $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} s(n) \cdot r^{-n} \leq 1$. Then there is a computably enumerable prefix code $V \subseteq X^$ such that*

$$|\{w : w \in V \wedge |w| = n\}| = s(n).$$

Corollary

If, moreover, $s : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ is a computable function then V is also computable.

Description complexity: plain complexity

Definition (Description complexity K_φ)

Let $\varphi : \subseteq X^* \rightarrow X^*$ be a partial computable function.

$$K_\varphi(w) := \inf\{|\pi| : \varphi(\pi) = w\}$$

Definition (Plain or Simple universal machine)

A machine (mapping) $\mathcal{U}_S : \subseteq X^* \rightarrow X^*$ is called **universal** if and only if for every partial computable mapping $\varphi : \subseteq X^* \rightarrow X^*$ there is a constant c_φ such that

$$\forall w (K_\varphi(w) \leq K_{\mathcal{U}_S}(w) + c_\varphi).$$

Description complexity: plain complexity

Definition (Description complexity K_φ)

Let $\varphi : \subseteq X^* \rightarrow X^*$ be a partial computable function.

$$K_\varphi(w) := \inf\{|\pi| : \varphi(\pi) = w\}$$

Definition (Plain or Simple universal machine)

A machine (mapping) $\mathcal{U}_S : \subseteq X^* \rightarrow X^*$ is called **universal** if and only if for every partial computable mapping $\varphi : \subseteq X^* \rightarrow X^*$ there is a constant c_φ such that

$$\forall w (K_\varphi(w) \leq K_{\mathcal{U}_S}(w) + c_\varphi).$$

Definition (Plain description complexity)

$$C(w) := \min\{|\pi| : \mathcal{U}_S(\pi) = w\}$$

Description complexity: prefix-free complexity

Definition (Prefix-free universal machine)

A prefix-free machine (mapping) $\mathcal{U}_P : \subseteq X^* \rightarrow X^*$ is called **universal** if and only if

- 1 $\text{dom}(\mathcal{U}_P)$ is prefix-free, and
- 2 for every partial computable mapping $\varphi : \subseteq X^* \rightarrow X^*$ with prefix-free domain $\text{dom}(\varphi)$ there is a constant c_φ such that

$$\forall w (K_\varphi(w) \leq K_{\mathcal{U}_P}(w) + c_\varphi).$$

Description complexity: prefix-free complexity

Definition (Prefix-free universal machine)

A prefix-free machine (mapping) $\mathcal{U}_P : \subseteq X^* \rightarrow X^*$ is called **universal** if and only if

- ① $\text{dom}(\mathcal{U}_P)$ is prefix-free, and
- ② for every partial computable mapping $\varphi : \subseteq X^* \rightarrow X^*$ with prefix-free domain $\text{dom}(\varphi)$ there is a constant c_φ such that

$$\forall w (K_\varphi(w) \leq K_{\mathcal{U}_P}(w) + c_\varphi).$$

Definition (Prefix-free description complexity)

$$H(w) := \min\{|\pi| : \mathcal{U}_P(\pi) = w\}$$

Numbering words

Definition (Quasi-lexicographical order of $\{0, \dots, r-1\}^*$)

$$w <_{ql} v : \iff |w| < |v| \vee (|w| = |v| \rightarrow 0.w <_{real} 0.v)$$

Numbering words

Definition (Quasi-lexicographical order of $\{0, \dots, r-1\}^*$)

$$w <_{ql} v : \iff |w| < |v| \vee (|w| = |v| \rightarrow 0.w <_{real} 0.v)$$

Other names: *Length-lexicographical order, military order*

Numbering words

Definition (Quasi-lexicographical order of $\{0, \dots, r-1\}^*$)

$$w <_{ql} v : \iff |w| < |v| \vee (|w| = |v| \rightarrow 0.w <_{real} 0.v)$$

Other names: *Length-lexicographical order, military order*

Not to confuse with the lexicographical order:

$$e <_{lex} 0 <_{lex} 00 <_{lex} \dots <_{lex} 0^j <_{lex} \dots$$

$$\dots <_{lex} 0^{\ell}1 <_{lex} 0^{\ell}10 <_{lex} \dots <_{lex} 01 <_{lex} \dots <_{lex} 1 <_{lex} \dots$$

Numbering words

Definition (Quasi-lexicographical order of $\{0, \dots, r-1\}^*$)

$$w <_{ql} v : \iff |w| < |v| \vee (|w| = |v| \rightarrow 0.w <_{real} 0.v)$$

Other names: *Length-lexicographical order, military order*

Not to confuse with the lexicographical order:

$$e <_{lex} 0 <_{lex} 00 <_{lex} \dots <_{lex} 0^j <_{lex} \dots$$

$$\dots <_{lex} 0^{\ell}1 <_{lex} 0^{\ell}10 <_{lex} \dots <_{lex} 01 <_{lex} \dots <_{lex} 1 <_{lex} \dots$$

Definition (Complexity of natural numbers)

$$K_{\varphi}(n) := K_{\varphi}(n\text{-th word in } X^* \text{ w.r.t. } \leq_{ql})$$

Universal c.e. prefix codes

Definition

We say that a computably enumerable prefix code $V \subseteq X^*$ is **universal** if there is a universal prefix-free machine \mathcal{U} such that $V \supseteq \text{dom}(\mathcal{U})$.

Universal c.e. prefix codes

Definition

We say that a computably enumerable prefix code $V \subseteq X^*$ is **universal** if there is a universal prefix-free machine \mathcal{U} such that $V \supseteq \text{dom}(\mathcal{U})$.

- Characterise universal c.e. prefix codes.

Universal c.e. prefix codes

Definition

We say that a computably enumerable prefix code $V \subseteq X^*$ is **universal** if there is a universal prefix-free machine \mathcal{U} such that $V \supseteq \text{dom}(\mathcal{U})$.

- Characterise universal c.e. prefix codes.
- Are universal c.e. prefix codes computable?

Universal c.e. prefix codes

Definition

We say that a computably enumerable prefix code $V \subseteq X^*$ is **universal** if there is a universal prefix-free machine \mathcal{U} such that $V \supseteq \text{dom}(\mathcal{U})$.

- Characterise universal c.e. prefix codes.
- Are universal c.e. prefix codes computable?
- How big (set-theoretic, information-theoretic) are universal c.e. prefix codes?

Universal c.e. prefix codes

Definition

We say that a computably enumerable prefix code $V \subseteq X^*$ is **universal** if there is a universal prefix-free machine \mathcal{U} such that $V \supseteq \text{dom}(\mathcal{U})$.

- Characterise universal c.e. prefix codes.
- Are universal c.e. prefix codes computable?
- How big (set-theoretic, information-theoretic) are universal c.e. prefix codes?
- Are universal c.e. prefix codes necessarily domains of prefix-free universal machines?

A characterisation of universal c.e. prefix codes

1

Theorem

Let $V \subseteq X^$ be a c.e. prefix code. Then, the following statements are equivalent:*

- 1** *The set V is a universal c.e. prefix code.*
- 2** *For every c.e. prefix code $D \subseteq X^*$ there exist a partial computable one-one function $\varphi : \subseteq X^* \rightarrow X^*$ and a constant $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that:*
 - a.** *$D \subseteq \text{dom}(\varphi)$, $\varphi(D) \subseteq V$, and*
 - b.** *$|\varphi(u)| \leq |u| + k$, for every $u \in \text{dom}(\varphi)$.*

A characterisation of universal c.e. prefix codes

2

For the case $V = \text{dom}(\mathfrak{U})$, where \mathfrak{U} is a prefix-free universal machine we have:

Corollary

For every c.e. prefix code $D \subseteq X^$ and every universal prefix machine \mathfrak{U} there are a one-one partial computable function $\varphi : \subseteq X^* \rightarrow X^*$ and a constant $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that:*

- a. $D \subseteq \text{dom}(\varphi)$, $\varphi(D) \subseteq \text{dom}(\mathfrak{U})$,
- b. $|\varphi(u)| \leq |u| + k$, for all $u \in D$, and
- c. $\mathfrak{U}(\varphi(u)) = u$, for all $u \in D$.

Computability and set-theoretical maximality

Theorem (Nies, Calude & St.)

No universal c.e. prefix code $V \subseteq X^$ is computable.*

Computability and set-theoretical maximality

Theorem (Nies, Calude & St.)

No universal c.e. prefix code $V \subseteq X^$ is computable.*

Lemma

If $V \subseteq X^$ is a c.e. prefix maximal code, then V is computable.*

Computability and set-theoretical maximality

Theorem (Nies, Calude & St.)

No universal c.e. prefix code $V \subseteq X^$ is computable.*

Lemma

If $V \subseteq X^$ is a c.e. prefix maximal code, then V is computable.*

Corollary

No universal c.e. prefix code is a prefix maximal code.

Computability and set-theoretical maximality

Theorem (Nies, Calude & St.)

No universal c.e. prefix code $V \subseteq X^$ is computable.*

Lemma

If $V \subseteq X^$ is a c.e. prefix maximal code, then V is computable.*

Corollary

No universal c.e. prefix code is a prefix maximal code.

However:

There are computable prefix codes which are *not* contained in a computable prefix maximal code.

Spectrum Function

Definition (Spectrum Function)

Let $L \subseteq X^*$. Then

$$s_L(n, c) := |\{w : w \in L \wedge n \leq |w| \leq n + c\}|$$

is referred to as the **spectrum function** of L .

Spectrum Function

Definition (Spectrum Function)

Let $L \subseteq X^*$. Then

$$s_L(n, c) := |\{w : w \in L \wedge n \leq |w| \leq n + c\}|$$

is referred to as the **spectrum function** of L .

Specials cases

- $s_L(n) := s_L(n, 0)$ is also referred to as the *structure function* of the language $L \subseteq X^*$ [Chomsky and Miller '58].
- $C_L(n) := s_L(0, n)$ is also referred to as the *census function* of the language $L \subseteq X^*$.

Spectrum Function

2

Fact

If $W \subseteq X^$ is computably enumerable (computable) then s_W is a left computable (computable) function, and if W is computably enumerable and s_W is a computable function then W is also computable.*

Spectrum Function

2

Fact

If $W \subseteq X^$ is computably enumerable (computable) then s_W is a left computable (computable) function, and if W is computably enumerable and s_W is a computable function then W is also computable.*

Fact (Uniform embedding)

If $W, W' \subseteq X^$ are computably enumerable and if there is a $c \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $s_W(n, c) \leq s_{W'}(n, c)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ then there is a one-to-one partial computable function $\varphi : W \rightarrow W'$ such that $||\varphi(w)| - |w|| \leq c$ for all $w \in W$.*

Entropy and Logarithmic Density

Fact

If $V \subseteq X^*$ is a (prefix) code then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} r^{-n} \cdot s_V(0, n) = 0.$$

Definition (Entropy)

$$H_L := \inf \left\{ \alpha : \alpha \geq 0 \wedge \sum_{w \in L} r^{-\alpha \cdot |w|} < \infty \right\} = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log_r(1 + s_L(0, n))}{n}$$

Entropy and Logarithmic Density

Fact

If $V \subseteq X^*$ is a (prefix) code then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} r^{-n} \cdot s_V(0, n) = 0.$$

Definition (Entropy)

$$H_L := \inf \left\{ \alpha : \alpha \geq 0 \wedge \sum_{w \in L} r^{-\alpha \cdot |w|} < \infty \right\} = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log_r(1 + s_L(0, n))}{n}$$

Definition (Logarithmic Density)

$$\underline{H}_L := \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log_r(1 + s_L(0, n))}{n}$$

Entropy and Logarithmic Density: Properties

Fact

$$\begin{aligned}0 &\leq \underline{H}_L \leq H_L \leq 1, \\ \underline{H}_L &\leq \underline{H}_{L'}, \quad \text{if } L \subseteq L', \text{ and} \\ H_{L \cup L'} &= \max\{H_L, H_{L'}\}\end{aligned}$$

Entropy and Logarithmic Density: Properties

Fact

$$\begin{aligned}0 &\leq \underline{H}_L \leq H_L \leq 1, \\ \underline{H}_L &\leq \underline{H}_{L'}, \quad \text{if } L \subseteq L', \text{ and} \\ H_{L \cup L'} &= \max\{H_L, H_{L'}\}\end{aligned}$$

Lemma

If $V \subseteq X^$ is a universal c.e. prefix code then $\sum_{w \in L} r^{-|w|} < 1$,
 $\sum_{w \in L} r^{-\alpha \cdot |w|} = \infty$ for $\alpha < 1$ and $\underline{H}_V = 1$.*

Entropy and Logarithmic Density: Properties

Fact

$$\begin{aligned}
 0 &\leq \underline{H}_L \leq H_L \leq 1, \\
 \underline{H}_L &\leq \underline{H}_{L'}, \quad \text{if } L \subseteq L', \text{ and} \\
 H_{L \cup L'} &= \max\{H_L, H_{L'}\}
 \end{aligned}$$

Lemma

If $V \subseteq X^$ is a universal c.e. prefix code then $\sum_{w \in L} r^{-|w|} < 1$, $\sum_{w \in L} r^{-\alpha \cdot |w|} = \infty$ for $\alpha < 1$ and $\underline{H}_V = 1$.*

However:

There are also computable prefix codes $V \subseteq X^*$ which satisfy $\sum_{w \in L} r^{-|w|} < 1$, $\sum_{w \in L} r^{-\alpha \cdot |w|} = \infty$ for $\alpha < 1$ and $\underline{H}_V = 1$.

SOLOVAY's universal prefix machine \mathfrak{U}

Proposition

Let \mathfrak{U} be SOLOVAY's universal prefix machine. Then there are an $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ and a $d \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$r^{n-H(n)-d} \leq s_{\text{dom}(\mathfrak{U})}(n) \leq r^{n-H(n)+d} \text{ for all } n \geq n_0.$$

SOLOVAY's universal prefix machine \mathfrak{T}

Proposition

Let \mathfrak{T} be SOLOVAY's universal prefix machine. Then there are an $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ and a $d \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$r^{n-H(n)-d} \leq s_{\text{dom}(\mathfrak{T})}(n) \leq r^{n-H(n)+d} \text{ for all } n \geq n_0.$$

Lemma

Let $W \subseteq X^$ be computably enumerable and $\sum_{w \in W} r^{-|w|} < \infty$. Then there is a $d \in \mathbb{N}$ such that*

$$s_W(0, n) \leq r^{n-H(n)+d} \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Spectral characterisations

Theorem (Universal c.e. prefix codes)

Let $V \subseteq X^$ be a computably enumerable prefix code. Then V is a universal c.e. prefix code if and only if there are $c, d \in \mathbb{N}$ such that*

$$r^{n-H(n)-d} \leq s_V(n, c) \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Spectral characterisations

Theorem (Universal c.e. prefix codes)

Let $V \subseteq X^$ be a computably enumerable prefix code. Then V is a universal c.e. prefix code if and only if there are $c, d \in \mathbb{N}$ such that*

$$r^{n-H(n)-d} \leq s_V(n, c) \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Theorem (Domains of universal prefix-free machines)

Let $W \subseteq X^$ be a computably enumerable prefix code. Then W is the domain of a universal prefix-free machine \mathcal{U} if and only if there is a constant $c \in \mathbb{N}$ such that*

$$H(\langle n, s_W(n, c) \rangle) \geq n \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Example

There is a universal c.e. prefix code which is not the domain of a universal prefix-free machine.

Example

There is a universal c.e. prefix code which is not the domain of a universal prefix-free machine.

Construction: Let \mathcal{U} be a universal prefix-free machine with $\sum_{w \in \text{dom}(\mathcal{U})} r^{-|w|} < r^{-1}$ and let

$$s(n) := \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } s_{\text{dom}(\mathcal{U})}(n) = 0, \text{ and} \\ r^{\lceil \log_r s_{\text{dom}(\mathcal{U})}(n) \rceil}, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Example

There is a universal c.e. prefix code which is not the domain of a universal prefix-free machine.

Construction: Let \mathcal{U} be a universal prefix-free machine with

$\sum_{w \in \text{dom}(\mathcal{U})} r^{-|w|} < r^{-1}$ and let

$$s(n) := \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } s_{\text{dom}(\mathcal{U})}(n) = 0, \text{ and} \\ r^{\lceil \log_r s_{\text{dom}(\mathcal{U})}(n) \rceil}, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then $s : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ is left computable, $s \geq s_{\text{dom}(\mathcal{U})}$ and

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} s(n) \cdot r^n \leq 1.$$

Example

There is a universal c.e. prefix code which is not the domain of a universal prefix-free machine.

Construction: Let \mathcal{U} be a universal prefix-free machine with $\sum_{w \in \text{dom}(\mathcal{U})} r^{-|w|} < r^{-1}$ and let

$$s(n) := \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } s_{\text{dom}(\mathcal{U})}(n) = 0, \text{ and} \\ r^{\lceil \log_r s_{\text{dom}(\mathcal{U})}(n) \rceil}, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then $s : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ is left computable, $s \geq s_{\text{dom}(\mathcal{U})}$ and $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} s(n) \cdot r^n \leq 1$.

Thus there is a (universal) c.e. prefix code V such that $s_V = s$.

Example

There is a universal c.e. prefix code which is not the domain of a universal prefix-free machine.

Construction: Let \mathcal{U} be a universal prefix-free machine with $\sum_{w \in \text{dom}(\mathcal{U})} r^{-|w|} < r^{-1}$ and let

$$s(n) := \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } s_{\text{dom}(\mathcal{U})}(n) = 0, \text{ and} \\ r^{\lceil \log_r s_{\text{dom}(\mathcal{U})}(n) \rceil}, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then $s : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ is left computable, $s \geq s_{\text{dom}(\mathcal{U})}$ and $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} s(n) \cdot r^n \leq 1$.

Thus there is a (universal) c.e. prefix code V such that $s_V = s$.

Observe that $s_V(n, c)$ has the form $r^{m_1} + \dots + r^{m_k}$ with $m_i \leq n + c$ for some $k \leq c + 1$. Hence $H(\langle n, s_V(n, c) \rangle) = O(\log n)$.

CHAITIN's Ω -number

Proposition

If $V \subseteq X^$ is a universal c.e. prefix code then the number*

$$\Omega_V = \sum_{w \in V} r^{-|w|}$$

is a left computable MARTIN-LÖF-random real.

Domains of plain machines

Theorem (Supersets of domains)

Let $W \subseteq X^$ be computably enumerable. Then $W \supseteq \text{dom}(\mathcal{U})$ for a plain universal machine \mathcal{U} if and only if there is a $c \in \mathbb{N}$ such that*

$$r^n \leq s_W(n, c) \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Domains of plain machines

Theorem (Supersets of domains)

Let $W \subseteq X^$ be computably enumerable. Then $W \supseteq \text{dom}(\mathcal{U})$ for a plain universal machine \mathcal{U} if and only if there is a $c \in \mathbb{N}$ such that*

$$r^n \leq s_W(n, c) \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Theorem (Domains of universal plain machines)

A computably enumerable set $W \subseteq X^$ is a domain of a universal plain machine if and only if there is a constant $c \in \mathbb{N}$ such that*

$$C(s_W(n, c)) \geq n \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$